

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/655,885	HAINDL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sarah L. Kuhns	1761	

All Participants:

(1) Sarah L. Kuhns.

(2) Michele Barzal-Bonenfant.

Status of Application: ____

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 13 September 2005
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Michele Barzal-Bonenfant (Applicant's representative's assistant) stated that the application had been abandoned.
Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)